UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/848,921	05/19/2004	Kwang-Soon Kim	3364P168	5850	
	7590	EXAMINER			
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			LAM, KENNETH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/848,92	21	KIM ET AL.					
		Examine		Art Unit					
		KENNETI		2611					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the reply attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even. In. eriod will apply and wetatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  The sent, however, may a reply be to the sent of the sent o	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a	12 November 2	008						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 November 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	5)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	equirement.						
	on Papers		•						
	•	minor							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/12/2008. Claims
 1-14 are pending in this application and have been considered below.

### Response to Argument

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner thoroughly reviewed Applicant's arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference reasonably and properly meets the claimed limitation as rejected.

**Applicant's arguments:** "Kong does not disclose measuring the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs in a single code block. There is no indication in Kong that the measured normalized standard deviation of the SNRs is relating to a single code block."

The examiner's response: Walton discloses estimating the SNR of the transmission channels through pilot data. The single code block could interpret as the known pilot data ([0177]). In additional, Kong discloses utilizing the estimated SNR to adjust the transmit data code rate and transmit power ([0150]-[0154]). Therefore, the combined teachings of Walton and Kong disclose the measuring the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs in a single code block.

10/848,921 Art Unit: 2611

# Page 3

## Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 10-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection because of the amendments change the scope of the invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walton et al. (Walton herein after) (US 2003/0125040 A1) in view of Schramm (US 2002/0110138 A1).

10/848,921

Art Unit: 2611

Re Claim 1, Walton discloses an adaptive transmitter in a wireless communication system using frequency division duplexing (Background, [0004]), comprising:

a modulation and encoding method ([0011]) and transmit power determining unit ([0013]) for determining an antenna method ([0006]), a modulation and encoding method, and a corresponding transmit power according to parameters (received log likelihood ratio parameters) fed back from a receiver, the parameters including a mean and a normalized standard deviation of SNRs calculated by the receiver (a receiver processes received signal and provides channel state information then transmits the feedback signal to the transmitter [0080], [0148], SNR of the transmission and noise variance (standard deviation is equal to square root of variance) [0180]-[0187]), and deriving and reporting full or partial CSI [0302])-[0538]); and

an encoder and modulator for adaptively transmitting the traffic data to the receiver according to the antenna method, the modulation and encoding method, and the transmit power determined by the modulation and encoding method and transmit power determining unit ([0014]). Walton discloses the claimed invention except explicitly teaches wherein the transmit power is determined to be equal to a sum of a first compensated power value Pmean, a second compensated power value Pstd, and one or more additional power values, wherein Pmean corresponds to a difference between the mean of the SNRs and a predefined mean SNR for the determined antenna method, the modulation and the encoding method, and Pstd corresponds to the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs.

However, Schramm teaches a link quality determination system wherein the transmit power is determined to be equal to a sum of a first compensated power value Pmean, a second compensated power value Pstd, and one or more additional power values, wherein Pmean corresponds to a difference between the mean of the SNRs and a predefined mean SNR for the determined antenna method, the modulation and the encoding method, and Pstd corresponds to the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs ([0097]-[0110]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the arts at the time the invention was made to utilize the transmit power determination as taught by Schramm with the adaptive transmitter as taught by Walton to further improve the signal transmission quality and produce less interference.

Re Claim 2, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitter of claim 1, Walton further discloses wherein the modulation and encoding method and transmit power determining unit comprises:

a per-modulation-encoding-method target mean received SNR (i.e., signal to noise ratio) table for predefining target mean received SNR per modulation encoding method ([0076]);

a transmit power increase table for establishing per-modulation-encoding-method compensated power values that correspond to the received log likelihood ratio parameters fed back from the receiver ([0093]);

a transmit power determining unit (Figure 3B) for using the compensated power value output from the per-modulation-encoding-method target mean received SNR table and the compensated power value output from the transmit power increase table according to the received log likelihood ratio parameters and determining compensated power values of the corresponding antenna method, the modulation method, and the encoding method ([0105]); and

an antenna/modulation/encoding method determining unit for determining the antenna method and the modulation and encoding method corresponding to the compensated power values determined by the transmit power determining unit, and outputting them to the encoder and modulator ([0104]).

Re Claim 3, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitter of claim 1, Walton further teaches wherein the received log likelihood ratio parameters ([0167]) include the mean and the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs calculated by the receiver from at least one of a combined channel gain or a spatial channel gain([0163], [0180]-[0187]).

Re Claim 4, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitter of claim 1, Walton further teaches wherein the modulation and encoding method and transmit power determining unit comprises:

a per-modulation-encoding-method target mean received SNR table for presetting target mean SNR per modulation encoding method ([0076]);

a transmit power increase table for setting per-modulation-encoding-method compensated power values corresponding to the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs fed back from the receiver ([0093]);

a transmit power determining unit (controller **230**, Figure 2A) for using the target power output from the per-modulation-encoding-method target mean received SNR table, the compensated power value according to the mean of the SNRs fed back from the receiver, and the compensated power value output by the transmit power increase table according to the normalized standard deviation of the fed-back SNRs, and determining the compensated power values on the corresponding antenna method and the modulation and encoding method ([0105], [0180]-[0187]); and

an antenna/modulation/encoding method determining unit for determining the antenna method and the modulation and encoding method which correspond to the compensated power values determined by the transmit power determining unit, and outputting them to the encoder and modulator (Figure 3B, [0104]).

Re Claim 5, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitter of claim 3, Walton further teaches wherein the received log likelihood ratio parameters include the mean and the normalized standard deviation of the combined SNRs calculated by the receiver in the case of using diversity transmission ([0165]),

the parameters include the mean and the normalized standard deviation of the spatial channel SNRs calculated by the receiver in the case of using spatial multiplexing transmission ([0157]), and

the parameters include the mean and the normalized standard deviation of the combined SNRs calculated by the receiver ([0152]), and a mean and a normalized standard deviation of the spatial channel SNRs calculated by the receiver in the case of using both diversity transmission and spatial multiplexing transmission ([0116], [0174], [0195]).

Re Claim 10, Walton discloses an adaptive transmitting method of a wireless communication system using frequency division duplexing (Background, [0004]), comprising:

- (a) transmitting a pilot or a preamble to a receiver by using a predefined transmit power ([0092], [0098]);
- (b) determining an antenna method, a modulation and encoding method, and a transmit power based on the parameters (received log likelihood ratio parameters) fed back from a receiver, the parameters including a mean and a normalized standard deviation of SNRs calculated by the receiver ([0093], [0100] a receiver processes received signal and provides channel state information then transmits the feedback signal to the transmitter [0080], [0148], SNR of the transmission and noise variance

10/848,921

Art Unit: 2611

(standard deviation is equal to square root of variance) [0180]-[0187]), and deriving and reporting full or partial CSI [0302])-[0538]); and

(c) transmitting traffic data to the receiver by using the determined antenna method, the modulation and encoding method, and the transmit power ([0011]).

Walton discloses the claimed invention except explicitly teaches wherein the transmit power is determined to be equal to a sum of a first compensated power value Pmean, a second compensated power value Pstd, and one or more additional power values, wherein Pmean corresponds to a difference between the mean of the SNRs and a predefined mean SNR for the determined antenna method, the modulation and the encoding method, and Pstd corresponds to the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs.

However, Schramm teaches a link quality determination system wherein the transmit power is determined to be equal to a sum of a first compensated power value Pmean, a second compensated power value Pstd, and one or more additional power values, wherein Pmean corresponds to a difference between the mean of the SNRs and a predefined mean SNR for the determined antenna method, the modulation and the encoding method, and Pstd corresponds to the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs ([0097]-[0110]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the arts at the time the invention was made to utilize the transmit power determination as taught by Schramm with the adaptive transmitter as taught by Walton to further improve the signal transmission quality and produce less interference.

10/848,921

Art Unit: 2611

Re Claim 11, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitting method of claim 10, Walton further teaches wherein (b) comprises presetting and storing the performance of all the antenna/modulation/encoding methods used by an adaptive transmitter with respect to the pre-determined quantized values of the received log likelihood ratio parameters (Figure 2B, [0550]), and calculating transmit power needed for obtaining target performance on each antenna/modulation/encoding method from the received log likelihood ratio parameters fed back from the receiver (controller 230, scheduler 234, Figure 2B, [0081]-[0083]).

Re Claim 12, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitting method of claim 10, Walton further teaches wherein (b) comprises finding a transmit power needed for further compensating for the mean of received SNR for achieving target performance on the predefined antenna methods and the modulation and encoding methods (Figure 3B, [0105]), and a compensated transmit power for achieving target performance on the predefined antenna methods and the modulation and encoding methods from the received log likelihood ratio parameters fed back from the receiver ([0106]-[0113]).

Re Claim 13, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive transmitting method of claim 10, Walton further teaches wherein (b) comprises:

compensating for a difference between the mean of received SNR for achieving target performance on the predefined antenna methods and the modulation and

encoding methods and the mean of the received SNR fed back from the receiver ([0082]); and

finding a transmit power so as to compensate for a compensated transmit power further needed for achieving target performance on the predefined antenna methods and the modulation and encoding methods from the normalized standard deviation of the SNRs fed back from the receiver ([0085]).

7. Claims 6-9, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walton et al. (Walton herein after) (US 2003/0125040 A1) in view of Kong et al. (Kong herein after) (US 2003/0128674 A1).

Re Claim 6, Walton discloses an adaptive receiver in a wireless communication system using frequency division duplexing (Background, [0004]), comprising:

a demodulator and decoder for receiving signals from a transmitter, and demodulating and decoding the signals (Receiver **106a**, Figure 2B);

an SNR (i.e., signal to noise ratio) measuring unit for estimating channel gains or an SNRs in a single code block through preambles or pilots output by the demodulator and decoder ([0011], [0177]); and

a received log likelihood ratio parameter determining unit for finding parameters from the channel gains or the SNRs estimated by the SNR measuring unit ([0012]), and feeding the parameters back for adaptive transmission of the transmitter ([0152], [0211],

10/848,921

Art Unit: 2611

a receiver processes received signal and provides channel state information then transmits the feedback signal to the transmitter [0080], [0148], SNR of the transmission and noise variance (standard deviation is equal to square root of variance) [0180]-[0187]), and deriving and reporting full or partial CSI [0302])-[0538]).

Walton discloses the claimed invention except explicitly teaches wherein the parameters including a mean and a normalized standard deviation of the SNRS in the single code block.

However, Kong teaches a channel transmission device wherein the parameters including a mean and a normalized standard deviation of the SNRS in the single code block ([0150]-[0154]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the arts at the time the invention was made to utilize the transmit power determination as taught by Kong with the adaptive transmitter as taught by Walton to achieve the same expected result and to further improve the signal transmission quality.

Re Claim 7, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive receiver of claim 6, Walton further teaches wherein the received log likelihood ratio parameter determining unit comprises:

a diversity received log likelihood ratio parameter determining unit (Figure 4A-G) for calculating combined SNRs from the channel gains or the SNRs estimated by the SNR measuring unit ([0195]), determining a diversity received log likelihood ratio parameters ([0213]), and outputting the parameters to the transmitter ([0011]); and

a spatial multiplexing received log likelihood ratio parameter determining unit for calculating SNRs of spatial channels from the channel gains or the SNRs estimated by the SNR measuring unit ([0069]), determining a spatial multiplexing received log likelihood ratio parameters, and outputting the parameters to the transmitter ([0172], [0211]).

Re Claim 8, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive receiver of claim 7, Walton further teaches wherein the diversity received log likelihood ratio parameter determining unit ([0165]) comprises:

a combined channel gain calculator (spatial/space-time processor **410c**, Figure 4C) for receiving per-transmit/receive-antenna channel gain or SNR for each symbol in a single code block from the SNR measuring unit, and finding the combined channel gain and the combined SNR of each symbol in the code block ([0208]-[0212]); and

a mean and normalized standard deviation calculator (adaptive processor **428**, Figure 4C) for finding a mean and a normalized standard deviation of the combined SNRs in the single code block obtained from the combined channel gain calculator, setting them as the diversity received log likelihood ratio parameters, and feeding the parameters back to the transmitter ([0195]).

Re Claim 9, the combined teachings disclose the adaptive receiver of claim 7, Walton further teaches wherein the spatial multiplexing received log likelihood ratio parameter determining unit ([0069]) comprises:

a spatial channel gain calculator (spatial/space-time processor **410b**, Figure 4B) for receiving a channel gain matrix of each symbol in the single code block from the SNR measuring unit, and finding singular values of the matrix or the SNR of the respective spatial channels ([0173]-[0185]); and

a mean and normalized standard deviation calculator (channel estimator **418**, Figure 4B) for finding the mean and the normalized standard deviation of the spatial channel gain or the spatial channel SNR in the single code block found from the spatial channel gain calculator, setting them as the spatial multiplexing received log likelihood ratio parameters, and feeding the parameters back to the transmitter ([0172], [0188]-[0194]).

Re Claim 14, Walton discloses an adaptive receiving method of a wireless communication system using frequency division duplexing, comprising:

- (a) estimating a complex channel gain (the complex channel gain being from a transmit antenna to a receive antenna) of each symbol in a single code block through a pilot or a preamble transmitted from a transmitter ([0097]);
- (b) calculating parameters (received log likelihood ratio parameters) including a mean of SNRs from the estimated complex channel gain (from a transmit antenna to a receive antenna) of each symbol in a single code block ([0165], [0195], [0213], a receiver processes received signal and provides channel state information then transmits the feedback signal to the transmitter [0080], [0148], SNR of the transmission

10/848,921

Art Unit: 2611

and noise variance (standard deviation is equal to square root of variance) [0180][0187]), and deriving and reporting full or partial CSI [0302])-[0538]); and

(c) feeding the calculated received log likelihood ratio parameters to the transmitter for adaptive transmission in the transmitter ([0153]).

Walton discloses the claimed invention except explicitly teaches wherein calculating parameters including a mean and a normalized standard deviation of SNRs from the estimated complex channel gain.

However, Kong teaches a channel transmission device wherein the parameters including a mean and a normalized standard deviation of the SNRS in the single code block ([0150]-[0154]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the arts at the time the invention was made to utilize the transmit power determination as taught by Kong with the adaptive transmitter as taught by Walton to achieve the same expected result and to further improve the signal transmission quality.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

10/848,921

Art Unit: 2611

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KENNETH LAM whose telephone number is (571)270-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 7:30 am - 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on (571) 272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/848,921 Art Unit: 2611 Page 17

/KENNETH LAM/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611 01/29/2009 /Shuwang Liu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611